

April 3, 1974

CLERK: 29 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on motion to advance.

PRESIDENT: Bill is advanced. Clerk will read LB759.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator....LB759 is Senator Richendifer's bill who is absent. Excuse me, my apologies, Mr. President, LB759....Read LB759 introduced by Senator Simpson. Mr. President, there are a series of amendments. There are committee amendments by Senator Cavanaugh, Vice-Chairman of Miscellaneous Subjects.

PRESIDENT: Senator Simpson.

CLERK: Mr. President, then Senator Simpson offers a substitute amendment to the standing committee amendment.

SENATOR SIMPSON: Mr. President, I would move adoption of the substitute amendment. It is not in the Journal. I would think that in order to understand it that it possibly should be read by the Clerk.

CLERK: The amendment is as follows. Read amendment.

PRESIDENT: Now, Senator Simpson, is this an amendment to an amendment?

CLERK: It's an amendment, it's a substitute for the committee amendment.

SENATOR SIMPSON: It's a substitute amendment for the committee amendment.

PRESIDENT: Well, move to reject the committee amendments.

SENATOR SIMPSON: All right, I move to reject the committee amendments first.

PRESIDENT: Any objections at all to deleting the committee amendments? All right, the committee amendments withdrawn and now we're on Senator Simpson's amendment to the bill, is that correct, Senator Simpson?

SENATOR SIMPSON: Yes, and I move adoption of this amendment. The original intent under this was that for each 1,500 people across the state per county, there should be not over one license. This factor's been lowered to 1,000 now under this amendment. And also takes out the smaller counties where we have a problem. We have a bunch of villages and a very small population but each village should have at least one plus the fact that we have also stated specifically that every city or village could have this, one license at least. We would be taking out any licenses now in effect. A person could sell the business and the license could be transferred with no effect from the bill. But if one is surrendered or terminated in any way, then a new license, coming, an application would have to fit under the bill. Seemingly, this would be within the area of good business in the liquor business and that we should attempt in some way to have across the state something in general would take care of the problems that we might now have.

PRESIDENT: Senator Dickinson.

SENATOR DICKINSON: Mr. Speaker, members of the Body. I think this is another one of those lame horses. We ran this through on another bill, and stricken it from LB616 if I think I'm correct. I would like to ask Senator Simpson where the present license holders would be....which ones